



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,533	09/28/2001	Russell F. Mcknight	P1733US00	4798
24333 7590 05/06/2005			EXAMINER	
GATEWAY, INC.			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J	
ATTN: SCOTT CHARLES RICHARDSON				
610 GATEWAY DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL DROP Y-04			2642	
N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/966,533	MCKNIGHT, RUSSELL F.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William J. Deane	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after StX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Fe	bruary 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(d) or (f).				
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori						
application from the International Bureau		d III tills National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	` ''	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/966,533

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 3, 5 - 13, 15 - 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,631,188 (Sands).

With respect to claims 1 - 2, 10 - 12, 15, note that Sands teaches a caller ID unit for identifying caller information associated with an incoming call (see Fig. 5), and scheduling means for a call-back (Abstract).

It is unclear as to whether the caller ID unit is incorporated into the telephone 12. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the caller ID device into the phone 12 as such only entail putting two separate devices used together and incorporating them into one device.

With respect to claim 3, note Fig. 1.

With respect to claim 5, note Abstract.

With respect to claim 6, note Abstract and controls (40).

With respect to claim 7, note telephone 12.

With respect to claim 8, note storage device 26.

With respect to claim 9, note storage device 28.

With respect to claim 13, note elements 42 and 44.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,533

Art Unit: 2642

With respect to claims 16 - 18, note telephone 12 and caller ID unit 22. The other means cited would be obvious in view of Sands and the other art cited prior art cited throughout the prosecution of the case.

With respect to claim 21, note that, as broadly claimed, telephone 12 is a portable handheld device.

Claims 4, 14,19 and 22 - 23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sands and U.S. Patent No. 6,760,423 (Todd).

Sands teaches the claimed device accept for the calendar aspects of the invention. However, note that Todd teaches a calendar situated in a phone (Fig. 4A) and that the calendar initiates the calls.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- U.S. Patent No. 6,751,307 (McAlinden) note Figs.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,651,218 (Adler et al.) note Abstract;
- U.S. Patent No. 6,477,374 (Shaffer et al.) note Abstract;
- U.S. Patent No. 6,005,870 (Leung et al.) note Abstract;
- U.S. Patent Application No. 2004/0082317 (Graefen) note Figs.;

Application/Control Number: 09/966,533

Art Unit: 2642

Page 4

U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0144136 (Stornetta, Jr. et al.) – note Abstract and Figs.; and

U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0052225 (Davis et al.) - note Figs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (703) 872-9314.

01May05

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER